ARMY CYBER INSTITUTE AT WEST POINT PRESENTS:

## MATERIAL LDSS

WRITEN BY: BRIAN DAVID JOHNSON CREATIVE DIRECTION: SANDY WINKELMAN ART DIRECTION: STEVE BUCCELLATO ART: RAFAEL PIMENTEL



## BUILDING A BETTER, STRONGER AND MORE SECURE FUTURE FOR OUR ARMED FORCES

Science Fiction Prototypes are science fiction stories based on future trends, technologies, economics, and cultural change. The story you are about to read is based on threatcasting research from the Army Cyber Institute at West Point and Arizona State University's Threatcasting Lab. Our story does not shy away from a dystopian vision of tomorrow. Exploring these dark regions inspires us to build a better, stronger, and more secure future for our Armed Forces.

Today we believe that there are nine nations with nuclear warheads out of the 195 countries across the globe. One can imagine that there are significant intelligence apparatuses dedicated to watching for indications of both potential use by the nine but also for any whispers that a 10th nation is developing the technology. But that is with today's technology.

What if we imagine a not-so-distant future where Emerging Disruptive Technologies (like AI, 6G, Quantum, Autonomous Robotics, Hypersonics, etc) intersect with Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). This could be a future where the barrier to entry to conduct a WMD attack no longer is just within the purview of the nine nations but also within the grasp of a rogue nation and/or actor. What if these next-generation technologies could ensure that all activities (planning, assembling, transportation, launch) could fly under the radar of all our existing sensors and safeguards meant to protect the world? What happens if we don't adapt to this changing world?


COL. NATALIE VANATTA ACADEMY PROFESSOR U.S. ARMY CYBER INSTITUTE

The views in this graphic novel are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Army, DOD, or the U.S. Government.


## MATERIAL LOSS

Dur science fiction prototype will take us into the future... the year 2041. Nothing seems to be out of order on the world stage. Same old problems, just a different day. However, the globe has embraced a wide range of emerging disruptive technologies that today seem to be in the realm of science fiction. And, as it has always been thought-out history, any technology that can be used to better the world, can also be used to do harm to people. In our future, nefarious actors have figured out ways to use these technologies to disrupt the entire world order.


Our story begins with a minor mystery in Europe but then quickly unfolds with an unprecedented attack on American soil and ultimately leads to an international incident that could take the entire planet to the brink of disaster.































<sup>44</sup> Man has lived now for more than twenty years in what we have come to call the Atomic Age. What we sometimes overlook is that every future age of man will be an atomic age, and if man is to have a future at all, it will have to be one overshadowed with the permanent possibility of thermonuclear holocaust. About that fact there is no longer any doubt. Our freedom in this question consists only in facing the matter rationally and realistically and discussing actions to minimize the danger. <sup>34</sup>

> SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ROBERT MCNAMARA SEPTEMBER 18, 1967

## AFTERWORD

The threat future that you have just seen is possible and plausible and maybe even probable in the future. Just because history books have so few examples of significant attacks on the United States homeland in the last 250 years does not mean it is an impossible feat. In the 21st century there is no sanctuary from threats and global conflict.

Over the next few decades emerging disruptive technologies will continue to be developed by governments, industries, and academic institutions. Their inevitable rise and adoption across the world means that we need to start understanding their impacts today so that we can adequately prepare for tomorrow. What were the activities that enabled this nation to successfully attack the United States in this vision of the future?

First, there was immense compute power and increased interconnectivity within global systems that allowed cyber professionals to erase Alexi's identity. It was only a dusty paper copy from the basement that allowed accurate identification. What are we doing to build resiliency and security into tomorrow's systems? What are those important things/facts that must be backed up and how do we ensure that those backups can't be compromised?

Second, the increasing capabilities of 3D printing will revolutionize traditional supply chains and affect most sectors of our economy. It has the potential to be an equality enabler across the globe. However, it can also enable malicious actors. In the future, how could we regulate or monitor certain components from being 3D printed? Should we even consider this?

Third, our Warlord General did not have a quantum computer in his country. Yet similar to today's cloud computing platform, in our future quantum computing will be an available resource for anyone. Using various academic institutions' access to quantum computing, his team was able to create the most efficient road map to evade all intelligence systems and sensors. This included war-games on how to steal/create components, appropriate methods/timing to transport them to the vessel, best delivery vehicle to use, and the actual plan of attack. In the future, should we regulate what individuals/countries can use advanced computing resources for? If we regulate, how would we monitor and enforce these bans? If not regulated, how do we protect the human race in this atomic age?

Finally, our adversary was only successful because other nations had turned a blind eye to the situation and/or helped in some small way. Therefore, what is the best response from the United States? Could we really obliterate a country and its people because of a leader's decision to make a first strike? But what happens if we don't – would that convince another nation or group to attack the homeland next? And what is an appropriate response against the other nations involved?

